



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/730,577	12/07/2000	Yasusi Kanada	H-956	9644	
24956 759	90 04/27/2005		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			BATES, KEVIN T		
SUITE 370	AL KOAD		. ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2155	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	-		
	. (09/730,577	KANADA, YASUS	SI		
Office Action Summary		xaminer	Art Unit			
	K	evin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above. Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will e, the maximum statutory period will a fed period for reply will, by statute, cau han three months after the mailing dat). In no event, however, may a hin the statutory minimum of th pply and will expire SIX (6) MC ise the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.						
3) Since this application is	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance v	vith the practice under <i>Ex p</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim((s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are a	allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are reje	cted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.					
8) Claim(s) are sub	oject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	t that any objection to the dra	•	•			
	eet(s) including the correction			FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration	• •	•		` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
12) Acknowledgment is made	de of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies	of the priority documents h	ave been received.				
2. Certified copies	of the priority documents h	ave been received in	Application No			
	rtified copies of the priority			Stage		
application from	the International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detaile	d Office action for a list of t	the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)		_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson 			Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s			Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/730,577

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on February 3, 2005.

The Information Disclosure Statement was received on December 7, 2004.

Claims 1-5 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mohaban (6463470).

Regarding claim 1, Mohaban teaches a method of transmitting a policy rule, which describes a condition and an action (Column 16, lines 14-21), from a policy server to a network node that is connected to the policy server via a network (Column 11, lines 14-20), the method comprising the steps of: assigning a newly entered policy rule with an identifier (Column 19, lines 46-48; Column 20, lines 19-27); detecting a policy rule existing in a repository (Column 6, lines 7-12) that depend on the newly entered policy rule or on the newly entered policy rule depends transmitting the newly entered policy rule with the assigned identifier to said network node (Column 24, lines 24-28), wherein, if the detected policy rule has not been transmitted to said

Page 3

network node, the identifier thereof and the condition and the action described therein are transmitted with the newly entered policy rule to said network node (Column 28, lines 32 – 38); and if the detected policy rule has been transmitted with the newly entered policy rule to said network node, the identifier thereof is transmitted to said network node, but the condition and the action described therein are not transmitted (Column 28, lines 40 - 41).

Regarding claim 2, Mohaban discloses the policy rules that if the detected policy rule has not been transmitted to said network node, the identifier thereof, the condition and the action described therein, and a first instruction that specifies network interface information on said network node to put the detected policy rule into action are transmitted to said network node; and if the detected policy rule has been transmitted to said network node, the identifier thereof and a second instruction that specifies network interface information on said network node to put the detected policy rule into action are transmitted to said network node (Column 24, lines 37 – 61).

Regarding claim 3, Mohaban discloses the steps of: retaining the policy rules transmitted to said network node in storage of said policy server; and checking the transmitted policy rules retained in said storage to see whether the policy rule that depends on the newly entered policy rule or on any of which a new policy rule depends. detected by said detection step, has been transmitted to said network node (Column 6, lines 5 - 12).

Regarding claim 4, Mohaban discloses said step of detecting a policy rule that depends on the newly entered policy rule or on any of which a new policy rule depends Art Unit: 2155

detects a policy rule that references a variable defined in said newly entered policy rule or a policy rule that defines a variable that is used as a condition in said newly entered policy rule (Column 21, lines 34 – 41).

Regarding claim 5, Mohaban discloses said network node is connected to a proxy server that converts the rule transmitted from said policy server into a rule in form that the converted rule can be executed on said network node and said network node receives the converted rules in this form (Column 12, lines 59 – 62).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the reference, Mohaban does not detect dependent policies. The examiner disagrees, the reference, Mohaban uses the words simple or complex policies to take note of whether a policy does have sub-policies that are dependent on it, and so if it checks whether the policy is complex or not, it is checking for dependencies (Column 24, lines 24 – 28; Table 4) so if a rule is fine, the system checks the SubPolicy attribute to determine whether there are other policies dependent or child nodes to the policy.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2155

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB April 22, 2005 JOHN FOLLANSBEE
PERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100